

Shoko Sugimoto¹, Ko Shirakawa¹, Yuji Sugihara¹ (¹Kyushu University , Japan), Michio Sanjou² , Takaaki Okamoto² (²Kyoto University , Japan)

Backgorund and Objectives

Though several there are conclusions about the response of open | quantity. channel turbulence to wind-driven shear stress. This problem may be redefined as an examination of the effect of wind stress on mass transport in river flow.

In this study, we investigate the effect of the surface shear stress on open-channel turbulence through numerical simulations. We discuss the crosscorrelation characteristics close to the water surface to identify turbulent motions controlling Rey

the air-water scalar transport.



$$\begin{cases} \rho gh \sin \theta + \rho u_{\tau s}^2 = \rho u_{\tau b}^2 \\ \frac{\rho gh \sin \theta}{\rho u_{\tau b}^2} = 1 - \frac{u_{\tau s}^2}{u_{\tau b}^2} = 1 - \tau_s^* \\ \tau_s^* \equiv \frac{u_{\tau s}^2}{u_{\tau b}^2} < 1 \end{cases}$$

The gravitational and the surface shear forces are balanced with the bottom shear force.

∂u_i^* _____ ∂t^*



coefficients between the The time difference is the forward difference and the spatial difference is the Cranksurface scalar flux and the turbulence Nicolson method. The solution of the difference equation used a tridiagonal matrix. $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{\epsilon})$ Wall function

Tu tra

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \frac{U_{\delta}}{u_{tb}} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= (1 - \tau_s) \bigg(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu}} \frac{u_{tb}}{U_{\delta}} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= (1 - \tau_s) \bigg(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu}} \frac{u_{tb}}{U_{\delta}} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= (1 - \tau_s) \bigg(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu}} \frac{u_{tb}}{U_{\delta}} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= (1 - \tau_s) \bigg(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu}} \frac{u_{tb}}{U_{\delta}} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \eta} \bigg(\bigg(\tilde{v}_t + \frac{1}{Re_t} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= \left(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu^{1/4}}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \bigg(\bigg(\frac{\tilde{v}_t}{\sigma_k} + \frac{1}{Re_t} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= \left(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu^{1/4}}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \bigg(\bigg(\frac{\tilde{v}_t}{\sigma_k} + \frac{1}{Re_t} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \tilde{t}} &= \left(\frac{\kappa}{C_{\mu^{1/4}}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \bigg(\bigg(\frac{\tilde{v}_t}{\sigma_k} + \frac{1}{Re_t} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} &= \left(\frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon_{\mu^{1/4}}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \bigg(\bigg(\frac{\tilde{v}_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{Re_t} \frac{C_{\mu}}{\kappa} \frac{h}{\delta} \bigg) \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} &= \left(\frac{\delta h}{\kappa} \frac{\delta \tilde{U}}{\delta \eta} \right)^2 \bigg(\frac{\delta \tilde{k}}{\delta \tilde{t}} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{C_{\mu}}} \frac{u_b}{u_b} \right)^2 \bigg)^2 C_1 \frac{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}} \tilde{v}_t \bigg(\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \eta} \bigg)^2 - C_2 \frac{\tilde{k}^2}{\tilde{k}} \bigg) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial \eta} &= 1 - \exp\left(-A_s \frac{h\varepsilon_s}{h_s^{3/2}}(1 - \eta) \right) \\ &= 1 - \exp(-B_s(1 - \eta)) \end{aligned}$$

Cross-Correlation Coefficients

 $C_{x}(r_{x}) = \frac{\langle F^{*'}(x^{*}, z^{*})A^{*'}(x^{*} + r_{x}, z^{*}) \rangle}{\langle F^{*'}(x^{*}, z^{*})A^{*'}(x^{*} + r_{x}, z^{*}) \rangle}$ (x^* : steamwise direction) $F_{rms}^*A_{rms}^*$ $\langle F^{*'}(x^*, z^*)A^{*'}(x^*, z^* + r_z) \rangle$ $(z^*: spanwise direction)$. $C_z(r_z) = \frac{r}{r_z}$ $F_{rms}^*A_{rms}^*$

 r_x and r_z denote the distances in each direction from (x^*, z^*) , respectively. Also, $F^{*'}(x^*, z^*)$ and $A^{*'}(x^*, z^*)$ are the fluctuation components of the surface flux and turbulent quantities at (x^*, z^*) , indicating $F^{*'}(x^*, z^*) = F^*(x^*, z^*) - \langle F^*(x^*, z^*) \rangle$ and $A^{*'}(x^*, z^*) = A^*(x^*, z^*) - \langle A^*(x^*, z^*) \rangle$

 $F^* = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial C}$ (Downward is positive) $\overline{Re_{\tau}Sc \,\partial y^*}|_{surface}$ $\beta^* = \left(\frac{\partial u_1^*}{\partial x_1^*} + \frac{\partial u_3^*}{\partial x_3^*}\right)$

Streamwise vortic _____ ∂z^* ∂u^* $=\frac{1}{\partial x^*}-\frac{1}{\partial y^*}$ Spanwise vortici

Conclusion

✓ In this study, we have investigated the response of open-channel turbulence to wind-driven surface shear stress by using the numerical methed ✓ It has been seen that the vertical distributions of the streamwise velocity and the Reynolds stress vary depending on the positive or negative sign of ✓ The numerical results from DNS have provided that under the condition of negative shear stress, the streamwise velocity around the half-w ✓ The surface divergence calculated from DNS has been confirmed to be universally scaled with the Taylor microscale regardless of the positive ✓ It has been concluded from the cross-correlation coefficients that the scalar flux considerably increases when vortex structure is arranged

Simulating the Effect of Wind-Driven Shear Stress on Turbulent Open-Channel Flow

Direct Numerical Simulation

experimental The basic equations consist of the continuity equation for incompressible approaches have been attempted so far, fluid, the Navier-Stokes equation and the advection-diffusion equation for no clear findings or a passive scalar as follows: The subscript * denotes the dimensionless n

$$\frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial t^*} + u_j^* \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_j^*} = -\frac{\partial p^*}{\partial x_i^*} + \frac{1}{Re_\tau} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_i^*}{\partial x_j^* x_j^*} \right) + \frac{\delta_{1i}}{Fr_\tau^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_i^*} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial c^*}{\partial t^*} + u_j^* \frac{\partial c^*}{\partial x_j^*} = \frac{1}{ScRe_\tau} \frac{\partial^2 c^*}{\partial x_j^* \partial x_j^*}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_i^*}{\partial x_i^*} = \frac{gh \sin \theta}{u_{\tau b}^2} = 1 - \tau_s^*, Re_\tau \equiv \frac{u_{\tau b}h}{v}, Sc \equiv \frac{v}{D}$$
k-\varepsilon Model

Analytical Solution for Scalar Transport Velocity

Relation of scalar transport velocity with surface divergence: Analytical solution and DNS ($Re_{\tau} = 150$)









 $+A_{r}$

ĸδ

1. In the case of $\tau_s^* = 0$, the scalar flux increases intensively in the positive surface divergence areas.

2. Effects of surface shear stress degree of the agreement is found to be low between the surface divergence the scalar flux. $\tau_{s}^{*} = -0.3$

relation of the surface divergence with the scalar flux is changed in parison with the analytical solution. streched structures can be seen in the $\tau_{s}^{*} = 0$ the water surface under the action ositive shear stress.

Turbulence dynamics governing the scalar transport seems to change from the surface divergence to the other physical mechanism.

 $\tau_{s}^{*} = 0.3$

Streamwise velocity fluctuation on water surface (DNS, $Re_{\tau} = 150$)



Cross correlation for streamwise direction

Cross correlation for surface divergence Cross correlation for streamwise The case of $\tau_s^*=0$ is symmetric with direction $C_x(r_x)$ (DNS, $Re_{\tau} = 150$) respect to the origin of $r_x = 0$, $_{0.75}^{c_x}$ whereas for the other values of τ_s^* , it 0.50 becomes asymmetric.

When the positive shear stress, 0.00 $C_{\chi}(r_{\chi})$ increases relatively in the -0.25 region of $r_x > 0$. Conversely, in the -0.50 cases of $\tau_{s}^{*} = -0.1$ and -0.2, the -0.75 downward overshoot of $C_{\chi}(r_{\chi})$ is observed in the region of $r_x > 0$. 0.50 Cross correlation for ω_z^* From the streamwise correlation ^{0.25} with $\omega z \ast$ shown in the figure, it is 0.00 seen that the relation of Cx(rx) with -0.25

 $\tau s*$ becomes complicated especially -0.50 in the case of the negative shear





Cross correlation for spanwise direction

- the sign and magnitude of τ_s^* . scalar flux at the water surface.
 - Cross correlation for ω_z^*
- negative shear stress.

	Re	efe
ethods of DNS and the standard k-ε model.	1.	Sar Op <i>Me</i>
f the surface shear stress. water depth is increased than that in the case of no shear stress.	2.	Sar Rov <i>Jou</i>
e or negative sign of the shear stress. so as to induce a strong upward flow toward the water surface.	3.	Vol Tsu wat

Streamwise velocity fluctuation on water surface, Surface divergence, Scalar flux on water surface



Scalar flux on water surface

KYUSHU



Cross correlation for surface divergence Cross correlation for spanwise The cross-correlation coefficients **direction** $C_z(r_z)$ (DNS, $Re_\tau = 150$) with respect to $r_z = 0$ regardless of _{0.50}

The maximum values of the crosscorrelation coefficients $C_x(r_x)$ and _{-0.25} $C_z(r_z)$ take 0.75 approximately _0.50 when $\tau_s^* = 0$, supporting that the _0.75 surface divergence is an important

shows symmetrical variation with _025 respect to $r_z = 0$, but the behavior is not monotonous in the case of



erences

- anjou, M. and Nezu, I. (2011). Turbulence Structure and Coherent Vortices in pen-Channel Flows with Wind-Induced Water Waves, Environmental Fluid lechanics, Vol.11, pp.113-131.
- njou, M., Takahashi, K., Toda, K. (2015). Hydrodynamic Effects of Strip oughness on Surface Velocity Divergence in Open-Channel Turbulent Flows, ournal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Ser. B1 (Hydraulic Engineering) ol.71, No.1, pp.1-10. (In Japanese)
- umori, H. and Sugihara, Y. (2007). Lengthscales of motion that control the airater gas transfer in grid-stirred turbulence, J. Marine Systems, Vol. 66, pp.6-18.